Salicylic acid-mediated plasmodesmal closure via Remorin-dependent lipid organization

Dingquan Huang,a,b,1 Yanbiao Sun,a,b,1 Zhiming Ma,c,1 Meiju Ke,b,1 Yong Cui,b,1 Zichen Chen,b,1 Chaofan Chen,a,b,1 Changyang Ji,d, Tuan Minh Tran,d, Liang Yang,c,1 Sin Man Lam,f, Yanhong Han,b, Guanghou Shuf, Ji Ji Friml,g,1 Yansong Miao,c,d,1 Liwen Jiang,g,1 and Xu Chenb,1,2

*College of Life Science and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Haixia Applied Plant Systems Biology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China; 1Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University–University of California, Riverside, Joint Center for Horticultural Biology and Metabolomics, Haixia Institute of Science and Technology, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China; School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 637551, Singapore; 2School of Life Sciences, Centre for Cell and Developmental Biology and State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 999077, Hong Kong; 3Singapore Centre for Environmental Life Sciences Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 637551 Singapore; 4State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China; 5Institute of Science and Technology Austria (IST Austria), 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria; 6School of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 637459, Singapore; and 7The Chinese University of Hong Kong Shenzhen Research Institute, Shenzhen 518057, China

Edited by Natasha V. Raikhel, Center for Plant Cell Biology, Riverside, CA, and approved September 12, 2019 (received for review July 12, 2019)

Plasmodesmata (PD) are plant-specific membrane-lined channels that create cytoplasmic and membrane continuities between adjacent cells, thereby facilitating cell-cell communication and virus movement. Plant cells have evolved diverse mechanisms to regulate PD plasticity in response to numerous environmental stimuli. In particular, during defense against plant pathogens, the defense hormone, salicylic acid (SA), plays a crucial role in the regulation of PD permeability in a callose-dependent manner. Here, we uncover a mechanism by which plants restrict the spreading of virus and PD cargoes using SA signaling by increasing lipid order and closure of PD. We showed that exogenous SA application triggered the compartmentalization of lipid raft nanodomains through a modulation of the lipid raft-regulatory protein, Remorin (REM). Genetic studies, superresolution imaging, and transmission electron microscopy observation together demonstrated that Arabidopsis REM1.2 and REM1.3 are crucial for plasma membrane nanodomain assembly to control PD aperture and functionality. In addition, we also found that a 14-3-3 epsilon protein modulates REM clustering and membrane nanodomain compartmentalization through its direct interaction with REM proteins. This study unveils a molecular mechanism by which the key plant defense hormone, SA, triggers membrane lipid nanodomain reorganization, thereby regulating PD closure to impede virus spreading.
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Plasmodesmata (PD) are highly plastic nanosized membrane-lined channels that serve as gatekeepers for cell-to-cell transportation and communication in plants (1). Previous ultrastructural analysis by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) have described PD as tunnels connecting neighboring cells, containing inner components of desmotubule, cytoplasmic sleeve, and deposited callose (2–4). Although these structural features of PD have been broadly described and the functions are essential, the mechanisms underlying PD permeability regulation are still poorly understood. Therefore, elucidating the regulatory mechanism of PD plasticity and its role in fine-tuning cell-to-cell communication are critical for understanding the functions of PD in plant development and responses to environmental stimuli.

The plant systemic acquired resistance (SAR) depends on the spreading of defense signals between cells and requires PD-mediated transportation (5). As channels connecting the symplastic cell-to-cell network, PD can also be hijacked by pathogens such as viruses to facilitate the trafficking of viral particles (6). On one hand, viruses spread from one cell to adjacent cells by manipulating the PD architecture (6). On the other hand, upon pathogen infection, plants induce SAR involving the local accumulation of defense signals in the infected tissues (7, 8). One of the SAR-generated chemical signals, salicylic acid (SA), contributes to the regulation of PD permeability, and exogenous application of SA causes PD closure via regulation of callose deposition (9). Apparently, PD establish a battleground for plant defenses against pathogen attacks.

PD membranes are enriched in sterols and sphingolipids with very long chain saturated fatty acids (10), constituting crucial components of membrane lipid raft nanodomains (11, 12). Remorin (REM) represents one of the best-characterized membrane lipid nanodomain-localized proteins; its assembly pattern is critical for determining the formation of lipid nanodomains (13). In Arabidopsis, the REM family comprises 16 members (14). Individual REM proteins are associated with distinct membrane nanodomains, providing a platform for specific interactions between membrane lipids and membrane-resident proteins (15–17).

In this study, we adopted REM as a well-characterized nanodomain marker to investigate the correlation between membrane
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lipid raft compartmentalization and PD plasticity. We demonstrated that SA signaling has a direct impact on membrane nanodomain formation and PD closure. Based on the partition of membrane liquid-ordered/disordered phases, SA triggers recompartmentalization of lipid nanodomains and promotes ordered phase formation. PD membranes that are enriched with lipid components are greatly affected by SA, and PD permeability is attenuated by SA application. Remorin proteins serve as key regulators to coordinate the events of SA signaling, nanodomain organization, and PD structural plasticity. Altogether, our study underscores the fundamental role of SA in membrane lipid raft organization, uncovering the regulation of PD closure during defense responses.

**Results**

**SA Causes PD Closure.** To investigate the mechanism by which SA regulates PD permeability, we initially examined the ultrastructural changes of root meristematic cells in SA-treated wild-type (WT) plants. Using TEM, we observed that SA significantly impaired PD opening, as exhibited by long, narrow, and straight PD channels with 31- to 33-nm aperture, compared with the typical sandglass-shaped PD with a dilated neck and a 42- to 44-nm aperture in untreated plants (mock) (Fig. 1A and B). PD density in the cell wall along the cell division plane (apical/basal sides) or along root growth axis (lateral sides) was not influenced by SA (Fig. 1C), indicating that PD structure is controlled locally by SA. To determine whether PD functionality is influenced by SA, we designed a dye-loading assay employing carboxy-fluorescein diacetate (CFDA) to track symplastic transportation (18, 19). CFDA assay showed that PD permeability was significantly blocked by SA (Fig. 1D and E and Movies S1 and S2). Altogether, these data support the conclusion that SA caused PD closure and disrupted PD conductivity.

A previous study had demonstrated that SA-mediated PD closure relies on the deposited callose (9). We therefore tracked callose abundance by aniline blue staining and simultaneously used the PD-callose binding protein 1 (PDCB1), which binds callose (20), as another indicator to assay for callose location. SA significantly induced aniline blue-stained callose on both apical/basal and lateral cell sides (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and B). Similar to callose deposition, SA induced a PDCB1 signal on the lateral side, but PDCB1 signal was diminished on apical/basal sides (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A–D). PDCB1 binds callose at PD and preferentially anchors to the sterol and sphingolipid-enriched membrane raft via glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) motif (20–22). Therefore, the accumulation of PDCB1 to the lateral sides might be due to the overproduction of callose or to a rearrangement in the membrane system. To test these hypotheses, we used 2-deoxy-D-glucose (DDG), a callose synthesis inhibitor (23) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E and F), applied with SA-treated PDCB1-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein). DDG significantly disrupted the SA-induced PDCB1 signal on the lateral sides, whereas it did not fully inhibit the SA effect (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A–D), indicating the existence of an additional, callose-independent mechanism of PD regulation downstream of SA signaling.

**Lipid Rafts Are Essential for SA-Induced PD Closure.** Compared with the surrounding plasma membrane (PM), PD membranes contain strikingly abundant lipid raft constituents that are homogeneously distributed and fundamental for PD functionality (10, 24, 25). We thus hypothesized that SA might influence PD aperture by modulating lipid rafts. Methyl-β-cyclodextrin (mβcd) is
an efficient raft-disrupting agent and operates by depleting sterol from the membrane (11). To examine the possible relationship between SA and lipid raft on the control of PD aperture and conductivity, we treated WT with SA and mβcd separately or in combination. Although mβcd was not able to change callose level (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B), mβcd and SA cotreatment efficiently impaired the SA effect on PD closure, as shown by a 39-nm PD aperture compared to a 33-nm PD after sole SA treatment (Fig. 1 A and B). PD density was not influenced by mβcd (Fig. 1C). This result showed that removal of sterols significantly offset the SA effect on PD closure, indicating that an appropriate order of the membrane lipid raft is essential for SA-mediated PD gating.

We next examined whether lipid rafts influence PD conductivity using CFDA assay. SA and mβcd cotreatment partially restored the inhibitory effect of SA on CF unloading (Fig. 1 D and E). Interestingly, mβcd also partially restored the SA effect on PDCB1 lateral accumulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 C and D). All of these data unequivocally support the notion that SA locally influences PD aperture and permeability through a lipid raft-dependent mechanism.

**SA Increases the Proportion of Ordered Lipid Phase and Regulates the Compartmentalization of Membrane Nanodomains.** We next investigated whether SA affects lipid raft organization in the PM. Lipid rafts are defined as transient, relatively liquid-ordered membrane nanoscale domains (<200 nm), enriched in various sterols and sphingolipids (25). We stained WT roots using the widely used plant fluorescent probe, di-4-ANEPPDHQ, which is a styryl dye that senses the dipole potential changes of lipid bilayer (26). When di-4-ANEPPDHQ molecules detect the lipid domains with different dipole potential in the cell membrane, there is a large shift in the peak emission wavelength of the dye from 630 nm in liquid-disordered phase (nonnanodomain) to 570 nm in liquid-ordered phase (nanodomain) (26, 27) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Compared with the nontreated plants, di-4-ANEPPDHQ displayed a significantly higher generalized polarization (GP) value (28) in SA-treated WT, suggesting that SA enhances the formation of an ordered membrane phase in the apical/basal or lateral PM (Fig. 2 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). To validate this SA effect, we examined lipid order in the presence of mβcd, which depletes sterols, reducing the ordered phase. As expected, the GP value was significantly reduced by mβcd. Interestingly, mβcd also strongly attenuated the SA effect on shifting lipids toward the higher-ordered phase (Fig. 2 A and B). We then asked whether the pronounced effect of SA was dependent on SA signaling. Thus, we quantified the effect of SA-mediated lipid order in 2 mutants, the nonexpressor of PR gene 1

**Fig. 2.** SA triggers ordered lipid formation and induces REM assembly on the PM. (A and B) PM lipid order visualization in root meristematic cells. WT, npr1, and npr3/4 seedlings were treated with mock (DMSO), SA (100 μM), in the absence or presence of 10 mM mβcd (30 min), and then stained by di-4-ANEPPDHQ. The radiometric color-coded GP images were generated in HSB pictures. The white triangles indicate the membrane regions used for GP quantification (A) (B: n = 82, 78, 75, 73, 78, 85, 71, and 84). (C) pREM1.2::GFP:REM1.2 seedlings were treated with SA (100 μM, 24 h), mβcd (10 mM, 30 min), or SA-plus-mβcd cotreatment, and GFP signal was observed by 2D-SIM. The inset images display the 2× enlarged views of boxed areas in the original images. (D–F) Quantitative analysis of the individual GFP:REM1.2-marked nanodomains, with respect to diameter (D), density distribution (E), and intensity (F). n = 500 for each column in D and F from at least 10 images. The density graph (E) was generated by counting the nanodomain number in the selected regions of interest (n = 30, 24, 31, and 25). (G and H) REM1.2 distribution pattern was visualized by pREM1.2::GFP: REM1.2 in npr1 and npr3 npr4 in the absence or presence of SA (100 μM, 24 h). (H) Chart represents the histogram of signal distribution frequency of REM1.2 signal (n = 6,282 [from 40 cells, 20 roots], 5,750 [38 cells, 16 roots], 6,437 [45 cells, 13 roots], 8,205 [50 cells, 18 roots], 7,756 [51 cells, 16 roots], and 7,299 [43 cells, 16 roots]). (Scale bars: A and G, 5 μm; C, 2 μm.) Error bars represent SD. P values were determined by 2-tailed Student’s t test (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.0001; ns, not significant).
Further phenotypic analysis revealed that rem1.2 1.3c – significant developmental defects. We then generated 2 closely matched (14), and therefore most likely to be redundant. Interestingly expressed in most tissues (31). We constructed the green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused with coding sequences under the control of their native promoters plasmid and established stable transgenic Arabidopsis plants of pREM1.2::GFP:REM1.2 and pREM1.3::GFP:REM1.3. Compared with a homogenous distribution pattern of REMs in the PM of untreated plants, SA induced pronounced clusters of REM1.2 and REM1.3 proteins (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). We then examined the GFP-REM1.2 signals by SR-SIM and used them as bona fide rafts markers to quantitatively determine the compartmentalization of individual raft nanodomain. SA greatly enhanced the size of higher-signal-intensity REM1.2-marked nanodomains but decreased nanodomain density (Fig. 2 C–F). The changes of nanodomain organization reported above are consistent with the overall increase in lipid order by SA. Owing to the importance of sterols for the ordered lipid phase formation (32), all SA-triggered changes in REMs-marked nanodomains were largely abolished by mβcd (Fig. 2 C–F).

We then tested the assembly pattern of REMs in npr1 and npr3 npr4 mutants by expressing pREM::GFP:REM in these mutants. In npr1 and npr3 npr4, the SA impacts on REM1.2/1.3 clustering were largely abolished (Fig. 2 G and H and SI Appendix, Fig. S2 D and E). This provides evidence that NPR-dependent SA signaling organizes lipid raft nanodomains.

Arabidopsis inoculated with the plant pathogenic virus, cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), primes the induction of SA biosynthesis (33), which simulates the status of elevated SA level in vivo. To examine whether CMV-triggered endogenous SA accumulation causes a comparable assembly of REM-labeled nanodomains, we inoculated pREM1.2::GFP:REM1.2 with CMV. As expected, CMV-infected roots also displayed obvious REM1.2 clusters, which were similar to the effects of exogenous SA application (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 F–I). Together, these data consistently showed that elevation of SA enhanced REM-labeled lipid raft nanodomain compartmentalization.

Remorins Are Crucial Regulators of Lipid Raft Formation and Plant Development. Lipid raft nanodomains are indispensable for controlling plant development, under physiological conditions as well as during pathogenic attack (34). Although recent biochemical studies have provided insights into the structural property of REM proteins and their potential functions in lipid raft organization (13, 16), the genetic analysis of REM has remained limited owing to the functional redundancy of these proteins. To examine the expression profiles of 16 Arabidopsis REM homologs (15), we performed qRT-PCR to search for root-abundant REMs. Our results showed that the REM1 subfamily, especially REM1.2, REM1.3, and REM1.4 were ubiquitously expressed in most tissues (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A–E). Of all REMs, the sequences of REM1.2 and REM1.3 were mostly closely matched (14), and therefore most likely to be redundant. We also identified null alleles of rem1.2 and rem1.3 single mutants (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 F–H), and neither of them displayed significant developmental defects. We then generated 2 independent rem1.2 1.3 double mutants by designing a CRISPR-Cas9–mediated REM1.3 knockout in rem1.2 mutant, termed rem1.2 1.3c. We validated the mutation of REM1.2 and REM1.3 knockouts by Western blotting (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 I and J). Further phenotypic analysis revealed that rem1.2 1.3c mutants exhibited much smaller cotyledons than WT and single mutants (Fig. 3 A and B).

We also generated plant lines that conditionally overexpressed REM1.2 and REM1.3 lines using the β-estradiol–inducible system (XVE) (35), termed XVE:REM lines. We obtained several independent XVE:REM1.2 and XVE:REM1.3 lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 K and L), and all of them consistently displayed severely dwarf plants, short and agrativropic roots when continuously growing on estradiol-supplemented medium (Fig. 3 C and D, SI Appendix, Fig. S3O, and Movies S3 and S4). Validation by Western blotting showed that the quantity of REM proteins was gradually elevated in a time course manner of estradiol induction and reached maximal levels at 24 h postinduction (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 M and N).

To further access the role of overexpressed REM1.2/1.3 in lipid raft formation, we stained roots of overexpressed REM1.2 line and rem1.2 1.3c by di-4-ANEPPDHQ to examine the lateral segregation of lipid species into liquid-ordered or liquid-disordered phases. The transient and robust induction of REM1.2 (XVE:REM1.2) generated significantly higher GP values, indicating an increased level of ordered lipid domains on the PM (Fig. 3 E and F). A coinucubation with mβcd entirely abolished the lipid order change in XVE:REM1.2 (Fig. 3 E and F). The rem1.2 1.3c mutant did not show obvious changes on lipid order (Fig. 3 G and H). We then examined the sensitivity of rem1.2 1.3c mutant to SA-triggered higher ordered lipid formation using a serial concentration of SA. We observed a clear difference in lipid order between rem1.2 1.3c and WT under the treatments using 25 and 50 μM SA, respectively. Thus, rem1.2 1.3c showed significantly less sensitivity to lipid order change upon SA elicitation compared with WT (Fig. 3 G and H).

We next asked whether the increase in lipid order and nanodomain compartmentalization by SA or REM overproduction is due to REM clustering or the change of lipid species. We performed a lipodomics analysis to compare lipid components upon SA treatment or in REM mutants and overexpressed plants. We did not observe strong lipid imbalance in SA-treated WT, rem1.2 rem1.3c mutant, or XVE:REM1.2 line, except for slight changes in several phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphati- dylcholine, phosphatidic acid, and phosphatidysersine species (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 and Datasets S1–S3). It is worthy to note that neither SA treatment nor REM transgenic plants were able to change the levels of sphingolipids and sterols, which are the typical nanodomain lipid components (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B and Datasets S1 and S2). Taken together, these data indicate that, without significantly changing the abundance of sterol and sphingolipid components, a modulation of REMs clustering is sufficient to regulate lipid order on the PM as well as the compartmentalization of raft nanodomains. The lipodomics data suggest a primary role of REM clustering in fine-tuning SA-mediated nanodomain assembly.

Remorins Regulate Plasmodesmal Aperture and Functionality. Previous studies have shown that particular lipid components are enriched in PD membranes rather than in the surrounding PM (10), suggesting that SA regulates lipid order to change PD functionality. To examine PD functionality, we first detected PD permeability in rem mutants and overexpressors by CFDA assay. CF signals in the root tip showed that PD permeability was enhanced in rem mutants, whereas it declined in REM overexpressors (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–C). Moreover, using a functional complementation test by introducing pREM::GFP:REM in rem1.2 or rem1.3, respectively (called rem1.2-Comp or rem1.3-Comp), we showed that PD permeability in the complementation lines was restored to almost a WT level (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A and C), confirming that REMs are key components involved in PD-mediated symplasmic communication.
To clarify the regulatory mechanism by which REM regulates PD permeability, we examined the subcellular distributions of REM1.2 and REM1.3 by immunogold labeling on pREM::GFP:REM seedlings. Compared with the negative control of the antibody added to WT cells or no antibody in pREM1.3::GFP:REM1.3 cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A), gold particles against GFP in GFP:REM cells showed a clear localization of REM1.2 and REM1.3 in the PM as well as at PD, supporting the functionality of REMs at PD and PM (Fig. 4A).

We next analyzed the ultrastructural structure of PD in rem mutants and overexpressors by TEM. Since there is a differential expression pattern of REM1.2 and REM1.3 in the cortex and endodermis of root cell layers (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A), we analyzed the PD structure of rem mutants in cortex and endodermis, respectively. Although the canonical architecture of PD was intact, PD aperture was significantly modified in rem mutants and overexpressors. On the apical/basal sides of WT cells, we saw a ∼40-nm aperture of PD channels, compared with a wider ∼43-nm PD aperture in rem1.2 and rem1.3 mutants. Moreover, rem1.2 1.3c had an even wider PD aperture of ∼48 nm (Fig. 4 B and C). In contrast, XVE:REM1.2 and XVE:REM1.3 exhibited severely impaired PD openings and exhibited narrow PD channels (∼36 nm), similar to SA-treated WT plants (Figs. 1A and B and 4B and C).

Consistent with the PD phenotypes on apical/basal sides, rem mutants promoted and XVE:REM1.2 impaired PD opening also on the lateral sides (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). Thus, REM proteins function as negative regulators involved in the modulation of PD gating. Similar to the effects of SA, rem mutant and overexpressors were not able to alter PD density (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C), further supporting a specific role for REM in control of PD aperture.

To examine PD structure in depth, we reconstructed ultrastructural PD morphology by 3D electronic tomography. Representative 3D-PD structures of rem mutants and overexpressors revealed that rem1.2 and rem1.2 1.3c mutants had wider PD aperture and apparent cytoplasmic sleeves between the desmotubule and the PM, whereas cytoplasmic sleeves disappeared in XVE:REM1.2 (Fig. 4B, SI Appendix, Fig. S5D, and Movies S5–S8). Thus, 2D and 3D TEM observations unequivocally demonstrated that REMs are crucial regulators for determining the diameter of PD channels. We then used pSUC2-GFP as an additional indicator of PD conductivity (36). CFDA assay or expressing pSUC2-GFP in XVE:REMs both showed that PD conductivity was...
severely blocked in XVE:REMs (Fig. 4 D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 D–F).

We next asked whether such REM-mediated PD closure requires key nanodomain components, such as the plant phytosterols. To test this, mβcd, which depletes membrane sterols, was applied to XVE:REMs. mβcd significantly restored the weak unloading of CFDA or aberrant expression of the GFP signal in XVE:REM lines (Fig. 4 D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 D–F), further supporting the importance of lipid raft in REM-mediated PD closure. To further examine whether REM-stimulated callose over-production resulted in PD closure (37), we also applied DDG in XVE:REM1.2/1.3. The decreased callose level as a result of DDG treatment was not able to rescue the impaired SUC2-GFP signal in XVE:REM line (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 E and G). Therefore, we conclude that REM-dependent lipid raft organization is necessary to maintain appropriate PD aperture and permeability.

**Remorins-Mediated PD Closure Is Downstream of SA Signaling.** The discovered SA effect on the assembly of REM1.2/1.3 proteins and the compartmentalization of membrane nanodomains motivated us to test whether REM would also functionally regulate lipid rafts downstream of SA-mediated signaling pathways. Transcript abundance of REMs was slightly elevated by SA; meanwhile, protein levels of REM1.2 and REM1.3 were also elevated 1.4- to 1.7-fold upon SA stimulation (Fig. 5 A and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 A and B).

To prove the functionality of REMs in SA-mediated PD closure, we examined the sensitivity of rem1.2 1.3c mutant to SA using CFDA assay. CFDA-induced PD permeability of SA-treated WT was decreased to 5% upon 100 μM SA treatment, compared with nontreated WT (Fig. 5 B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 C and D). In contrast, SA was less effective in rem1.2 1.3c double mutant, compared with WT (Fig. 5 B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S7 C and D). To further dissect the functional correlation between REMs and the SA signaling receptors NPRs, we overexpressed REM1.2 and REM1.3 in npr1 and npr3 npr4 mutants. Compared with the background plants from which the XVE:REMs or npr mutants were derived, the leaf and root morphology of XVE:REMs npr1 and XVE:REMs npr3 npr4 all

---

**Fig. 4.** Rem mutants increase and overexpressed REMs decrease PD aperture. (A) REM1.2 and REM1.3 proteins were detectable at PM and PD by immunogold labeling with GFP antibody. The red boxes indicate PD and the black boxes indicate PM, and the arrows highlight the gold-labeled REMs. The Inset images display the 2× enlarged views of boxed areas in the original images. (B and C) PD structure was visualized by TEM in WT, rem mutants, and XVE:REM at the cell layers of cortex and endodermis, respectively. PD aperture was quantified on the apical/basal sides (C: n = 120, 228, 179, 126, 150, 125, 182, and 126). Tomographic slices and 3D models show dimensional PD structures. ER (green) structure and PM (blue) are differently color-coded (B, Lower). (D and E) WT and XVE:REM1.2/1.3 seedlings were treated with 10 mM mβcd for 24 h, compared with nontreated samples. PD permeability was measured by CFDA assay (E: n = 19, 20, 22, 17, 20, and 19). (Scale bars: A and B, 100 nm; D, 10 μm.) Error bars represent SD. P values were determined by 2-tailed Student’s t test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, and ****P < 0.0001).
resembled XVE:REMs (SI Appendix, Fig. S7E). CFDA assay in the above lines consistently showed that PD permeability in XVE:REMs npr1 and XVE:REMs npr3 npr4 was severely compromised, similar to XVE:REMs (Fig. 5 D and E). Thus, REMs are epistatic to NPRs involved in SA signaling.

14-3-3 Protein Acts as an Adaptor to Maintain the Assembly Pattern of REM and Membrane Nanodomains. To address how SA regulates REM proteins and lipid raft assembly, we used pREM1.2::GFP:REM1.2 stable transgenic seedlings to screen for candidate proteins inter-acting with REM1.2 by immunoprecipitation coupled to mass spectrometry (IP-MS) assay. Analysis of IP-MS data revealed that several isoforms of 14-3-3 protein, including 14-3-3 epsilon (general regulatory factor 10 [GRF10]), chi (GRF1), mu (GRF9), omega (GRF2), nu (GRF7), and phi (GRF4) were obtained among proteins that coimmunoprecipitated (co-IP) with REM1.2 (Dataset S4). We further performed co-IP to confirm these protein–protein interactions in vivo using overexpressed GFP-tagged REM1.2 transgenic Arabidopsis plants (GFP-REM1.2). Interestingly, when REM1.2 proteins were pulled down by GFP magnetic beads, the proteins at the molecular weight of 14-3-3 monomers and dimers were both detectable in GFP-REM1.2 line, compared with only the monomer 14-3-3 bands in WT (Fig. 6 A), implying that 14-3-3 might work together with REM through its dimeric form.

In an attempt to examine whether REMs and 14-3-3 interaction exists under in vivo physiological condition in plant, we applied the bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC)-luciferase (Luc) reconstitute imaging assay (38) by coexpressing GRF-nLUC and cLUC-REM in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A). When sprayed with luciferin to detect maximum Luc-complementation activity for both REM1.2-GRFs and REM1.3-GRFs, only pairs of REM1.2-GRF10 and REM1.3-GRF10 showed a strong reconstituted Luc-signal, compared with the negative controls (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 B and C). These results demonstrated that REM1.2 and REM1.3 interact with GRF10 protein in plants. We then performed a yeast 2-hybrid assay to test the existence of a physical interaction between REM1.2/1.3 and GRF10. Compared with the negative controls, the positive colonies of GRF10-REM1.2/1.3 pairs on the selective yeast medium (SD/-LTHA) confirmed that GRF10 directly interacted with REM proteins (SI Appendix, Fig. S8 D). The 14-3-3 protein has a wide range of roles in cell signaling pathways within the nucleus, cytoplasm, and PM (39–43). To understand the location for REM1.2/1.3 and GRF10 interaction, we utilized the BiFC-YFP system to coexpress GRF-n/cYFP and n/cYFP-REM in N. benthamiana leaves. Compared with the negative controls, GRF10-REM pairs showed strong reconstituted YFP signal on the PM, which was colocalized with FM4-64–labeled membrane (Fig. 6 B and SI Appendix, Fig. S8 E and F), indicating that GRF10 directly binds to REM proteins on the PM. Interestingly, detection of GRF10 protein level by separately extracting total proteins or membrane-associated proteins showed that SA significantly enhanced membrane-associated of GRF10, whereas the total protein abundance of GRF10 was not changed (Fig. 6 C). These data imply that GRF10 might act as a stabilization factor to mediate the oligomerization of REM on the PM.

Fig. 5. REMs are crucial regulators involved in SA signaling pathway. (A) Protein level of REM1.2 and REM1.3 (labeled as arrows) was detected in WT seedlings by Western blotting (with REM1.2/1.3 antibody) with or without SA treatment (100 μM, 24 h). (B–E) PD permeability was detected by CFDA assay. The 50 or 100 μM SA was applied for 24 h in WT and rem1.2 1.3c (n = 17, 16, 16, 17, 16, and 16) (B and C). rem mutants, npr mutants, and XVE:REM npr seedlings were treated with or without SA (50 μM, 24 h) treatment (n = 22, 18, 19, 18, 20, 22, 17, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, and 18) (D and E). The percentage indicates the signal ratio to compare SA-treated group with each mock, respectively. (Scale bars: B and D, 10 μm.) Error bars represent SD. P values were determined by 2-tailed Student’s t test (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant).
Increasing evidence has shown that 14-3-3 serves as primary pathogen targets in plant immunity (44, 45), and a 14-3-3 protein was significantly enriched in detergent-resistant membranes upon cryptogein treatment (46). To examine the contribution of GRF10 in mediating REM oligomerization, we firstly generated a GRF10-deficient mutant to silence the 14-3-3 epsilon subfamily, GRF9 and GRF10 simultaneously (called GRF-amiR lines). These lines displayed growth defects with a higher incidence of root agravitropism (SI Appendix, Fig. S9A and B), consistent with the prior publication (47). Furthermore, we introduced pREM1.2::GFPRIM1.2 into GRF-amiR-L1 to investigate the assembly pattern of REM1.2 proteins on the PM. Strikingly, GFP:REM1.2 assemblies were no longer enhanced by SA compared to mock treatment (46). Treatment with a modified tobacco rattle virus (TRV)-GFP (48) to assess the impact of the altered cell-to-cell movement of virus. We used fluorescent microscopy to analyze the size of TRV infection foci induced the formation of the higher-order protein oligomerization of GFP-REM1.2; however, this oligomerization disappeared in GRF-amiR-L1 (Fig. 6G and SI Appendix, Fig. S9D), suggesting a GRF10-mediated REM clustering and protein oligomerization on the PM under SA exposure. Correspondingly, PD permeability analysis by CFDA assay showed that GRF-amiR-L1 was insensitive to the SA effect on PD closure (SI Appendix, Fig. S9D). Therefore, we conclude that 14-3-3 protein acts as an adaptor to maintain the organizational pattern of REM and membrane nanodomains.

Remorins Are Important Regulatory Components of Plant Defense to Virus. Through the dynamic control of PD aperture by SA signaling, plants may establish an unelucidated defense system against virus infection. In order to verify this hypothesis, we inoculated *N. benthamiana* leaves with agrobacterial strains that harbored 35S::RFP-REM1.2/1.3 or 35S::RFP (control), together with a modified tobacco rattle virus (TRV)-GFP (48) to assess the impact of the altered cell-to-cell movement of virus. We used fluorescent microscopy to analyze the size of TRV infection foci...
at 5 d after inoculation to reveal the efficiency of virus particle movement. In RFP-inoculated leaves, exogenous SA significantly decreased TRV-GFP spreading area to one-third of that of the nontreated sample (Fig. 7A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S10). In contrast, overexpressed RFP-REMs pronouncedly restricted TRV-GFP movement, in line with our conclusion that overexpressed REMs conferred PD closure (Fig. 7A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Collectively, these results provide robust evidence for the involvement of REM-dependent lipid raft organization in SA-triggered plant defense pathway.

Discussion

Accumulating evidence indicates that membrane lipid rafts act as platforms to mediate spatiotemporal organization of protein complexes, thereby influencing downstream cellular cascades (49–51). In particular, during pathogen infection, membrane lipid rafts are essential to establish the appropriate pathogen recognition sites, providing a large surface area for pathogen colonization. In our study, we have revealed that high SA promotes the assembly of lipid nanodomains, leading to the enhancement of the liquid-ordered phase. The higher-ordered lipids, which are particularly enriched at the PD membrane, might decrease PD membrane plasticity and thus restrict PD opening against virus spreading (Fig. 7C).

Similar to lipid raft components on PM, the PD membrane also contains a variety of abundant lipids (10), which are segregated into a more tightly packed, liquid-ordered (Lo) phase, in contrast with the unsaturated lipids that are assembled into a less tightly packed liquid-disordered (Ld) phase (52, 53). Tilsner et al. (54) also proposed that a key attribute of PD architecture is its high degree of membrane curvature, involved in a plastic PD membrane system. REM proteins that were known as the foci of ordered nanodomains (55), are required for structuring of lipid ordered domains. Small Lo domains of 10 to 20 nm form spontaneously with a very short lifetime unless they are stabilized by membrane-anchored proteins (such as REM proteins), which assemble at nanodomains reaching 100 to 200 nm in size (53). Although REM is detectable on PD (Fig. 4A), it is not a specific PD-located protein. The PD location of REMs is caused by the enrichment of ordered lipids on the membrane surrounding the PD (10, 25). When REM proteins are incorporated between lipid molecules, they modify the mean size of the ordered domains and increase membrane tension (56). Perraki et al. (37) have demonstrated that potato virus X (PVX) infection increased REM1.3 mobility and stimulated bigger nanodomain formation, which is consistent with the phenomenon in our observation that high SA or CMV infection promotes REM-labeled nanodomain compartmentalization. REM showed a dynamic and tunable range for protein clustering upon different treated conditions that are suggested by the different steps of bleaching and different intensity from our SIM data. The range of lipid order change could depend on the range of the changing in REM clustering. Therefore, once the overexpressed REM or SA treatment results in REM clustering to a much
higher level, a tough and rigid membrane system is formed to constrict the membrane curvature at the PD neck region. Thus, funnel-shaped PD appear during SA treatment or when REM is overexpressed. mLdc strongly reverts SA or overexpressed REM on lipid order change, and this process requires the control and buffering by REM protein.

Due to the presence of a rigid cell wall, viruses have to exploit existing channels to facilitate their movement into plants. PD are ideal means by which virus can get through the plant cell and serve as gateways for systemic virus movement (57). PD functionality requires the regulations of several reported factors, such as callose, callose binding proteins (PDCBs), and PD localizing remorins, a plant-specific protein family: Evolutionary and functional perspectives.

PDCB1 is another type of PD-associated protein, which binds callose at PD and preferentially anchors to the sterol and sphingolipid-enriched membrane raft via its GPI motif (20). Thus, the PDCB1 distribution pattern is influenced by callose homeostasis, lipid raft organization, and potentially other unknown factors. Interestingly, we occasionally found that SA increased PDCB1 signal on the lateral walls of SA-treated root cells (Supplemental Fig. S1 A and B), implying that SA utilizes multiple regulatory components including callose and lipid order to mediate PD gating. However, PDLPS cannot directly close PD in the absence of SA signaling components (9), and thus a potential unknown regulator is present downstream of PDLPS to manipulate PD aperture. We identified that REM protein in our study fits well with the properties of this unknown regulator, which directly modifies PD structure and is downstream of SA signaling receptors.
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